



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,584	02/04/2004	Marianne L. Kodimer	66329/00141	3800

23380 7590 03/17/2008  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

EXAMINER
----------

AVERY, JEREMIAH L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/17/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
mary.erne@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/771,584	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KODIMER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JEREMIAH AVERY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeremiah Avery. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Garred Reg. No. 31,830. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: United States Patent No. 7,127,524 to Renda et al., hereinafter Renda and United States Patent No. 6,516,416 to Gregg et al., hereinafter Gregg.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the invention pertaining to the permission matrix being stored locally on a device, rather than relying on the network infrastructure as a whole. Further clarification regarding the localized storage was made. Upon receiving a formal written response based upon the topics discussed, the Examiner will conduct an updated search and respond accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeremiah Avery/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2131

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required